NATIONAL HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

NO.: 02-CV-3600

v.

PENN TREATY AMERICAN CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

AFFIRMATION OF DAVID L. YOHAI IN SUPPORT OF **DEFENDANTS' REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN** SUPPORT OF MOTION TO AMEND ANSWER AND IN OPPOSITION TO CROSS-MOTION TO RE-OPEN DISCOVERY

DAVID L. YOHAI, an attorney duly admitted to practice law before the Courts of the State of New York and admitted pro hac vice to practice before the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, affirms the following to be true under penalties of perjury:

- 1. I am a partner of the law firm of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, co-counsel for defendants Penn Treaty American Corporation, Penn Treaty Network America Insurance Company ("PTNA"), and Senior Financial Consultants Company. This affirmation is submitted in support of Defendants' reply memorandum of law in support of their motion to amend their answer to add a counterclaim and in opposition to Plaintiff's cross-motion to re-open discovery and to assess fees.
- Annexed hereto as Exhibit "G" is a true and correct copy of 2. excerpts from the videotape deposition of Neal A. Forman, in his individual capacity and

as the corporate designee by plaintiff National Healthcare Services, Inc. pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, taken on October 14, 2003.

Annexed hereto as Exhibit "H" is a true and correct copy of 3. excerpts from the videotape deposition of Herbert E. Schwartz taken on September 29, 2003.

Dated: New York, New York January 25, 2005

Validation of Signature Code: DLY4573

EXHIBIT G

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 2 NATIONAL HEALTHCARE : CIVIL ACTION 3 SERVICES, INC., Plaintiff, 4 ORIGINAL 5 PENN TREATY AMERICAN 6 : NO. 02-CV-3600 CORPORATION, et al., 7 Defendants.: (MM) Tuesday, October 14, 2003 8 9 Realtime videotape deposition of NEAL A. FORMAN, in his LO individual capacity, and Rule 30 (b)(6) 1 realtime videotape deposition of NATIONAL HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC., taken through 2 its representative NEAL A. FORMAN, held in the law offices of BALLARD, SPAHR, ANDREWS & INGERSOLL, LLP, 1735 Market 13 Street, 42nd Floor, Philadelphia, 4 Pennsylvania 19103, on Tuesday, October 14, 2003, beginning at 9:33 a.m., before Kimberly A. Cahill, a Registered 5 Professional Reporter and Approved Reporter of the United States District **L** 6 Court. 17 18 9 5 0 ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES 15th Floor 1880 John F. Kennedy Boulevard 21 Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 988-9191

Right.

Α.

24

And DDS was contracted by Q.

ultimately receive when they bought the

```
92
    program
1
                 And in some instances, these
2
    consumers didn't receive those kits;
3
    correct?
4
                We're not talking about the
5
    consumers. We're talking about the
6
    agents that are going to be marketing the
7
    program.
8
                  I wanted the agents to have
9
    a professional package of which they
10
    could show the consumer, this is what
11
    you're going to be receiving once you buy
12
13
    the program.
                  The kits, though, as I
14
   understand it, were designed to be sent
15
    to -- to potential customers. Right?
16
    That's what -- that's who the kits went
17
    to; isn't that right?
18
                  That's correct. It's --
           Α.
19
                 And in some instances, those
20
   customers actually didn't receive those
21
    kits; isn't that right?
22
                  I understand there were
23
   probably a half a dozen or a dozen people
24
```

```
93
 1
    that didn't get them due to maybe a wrong
 2
    mailing address or there was some
 3
    miscommunication on -- sometimes they
 4
    were sent to an agent to deliver. Other
 5
    times they were sent directly to the
 6
    agent.
 7
                 And who --
           0.
 8
           Α.
               I'm talking about a
 9
    different problem. I'm --
10
                 Whose responsibilities was
           Q.
    it to send out the agent kits?
11
12
    responsibility was that?
13
                  I believe that was through
           A .
14
    Web Barth or Mike Hauert or DDS. They
15
    were responsible to make sure that all of
16
    that was executed properly.
                 And Web Barth -- and Web
17
           Q.
18
    Barth responded -- I'm sorry.
19
                  And Web Barth was contracted
    with National Healthcare Services, Inc.
20
21
22
           Α.
                 Correct.
23
                 -- isn't that right?
           Q.
24
           Α.
                 Right.
```

```
192
 1
    of 10 percent, could be 15 percent. It's
 2
    an average savings. Call the 800 line.
 3
    We will call and see what savings you
    will be able to get.
 4
 5
                  So I think it was very, very
 6
    little.
 7
               What if the 800 number
            0.
    wasn't able to provide the information;
 8
 9
    would that -- would that have been a
10
    problem?
11
                  MR. LYONS: Objection; calls
12
            for speculation, lack of
13
            foundation.
14
                  THE WITNESS: I could only
15
           surmise that if they had no way of
16
           getting through to the 800 line,
           that it would be a problem for any
17
18
           company or any --
19
    BY MR. YOHAI:
20
           Q .
                  So -- okay.
21
                  So the two options were to
22
    go to the provider -- but in this case,
23
      AllRisk's, most of the providers, many
24
    of the providers, didn't know about the
```

```
193
    AllRisk program. Right?
 1
                  MR. LYONS: Objection.
 2
    BY MR. YOHAI:
 3
                  Is that correct?
 4
           Q.
                 Could be.
           Α.
 5
                 "Could be" doesn't work.
                                              Ιs
 6
           Q.
          is it correct or incorrect or some
 7
 8
    other answer
 9
                  MR. LYONS: If you know, you
           know. If you don't know, you
10
           don't.
11
                  THE WITNESS: I don't know.
12
                  MR. YOHAI: Okay.
13
                  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I
14
           don't know.
15
                  MR. LYONS: You don't have
16
           to apologize.
17
18
                  MR. YOHAI: No, you --
                                           you
           definitely don't have to
19
20
           apologize.
                  THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
21
22
    BY MR. YOHAI:
                  Are there any other options
23
       either go to the provider or go to the
24
```

unable to provide information, there'd

```
195
    really be very little way for either the
1
    customer or the agent to get information
2
    on this program; isn't that right?
3
                  MR. LYONS: Objection; calls
4
            for speculation, lack of
 5
           foundation.
 6
                  THE WITNESS: I can't answer
7
           that question because I didn't
8
           handle that part of it. That's
9
           only -- I mean, it would just be
10
11
            speculation on my part.
                  MR. YOHAI: Okay.
12
13
                  Let me show you what's been
           marked as -- what we'll mark as
14
           Forman Exhibit --
15
                  MS. KURCIAS: 17.
16
                  MR. YOHAI:
                              -- 17.
17
18
                  (Whereupon, the
19
           above-mentioned document was
20
           marked for identification as
21
22
           Forman - 17.)
23
24
    BY MR. YOHAI:
```

196 This is PT 10442 from Pamela 1 Q. Rodgers to Frank Nikischer at the bottom: 2 3 Frank, I had an agent call whose policyholder was upset because they have 4 an AllRisk policy and wanted to find a 5 list of facilities in their area. 6 they called the 1-800 number that is 7 listed in their booklet, and they told 8 them to call our 1-800 number. Neither 9 10 one has access to the Internet. Why 11 would they be doing this? 12 Do you see that? MR. LYONS: Objection to the 13 form and objection to the extent 14 counsel misread the text of the 15 16 letter. MR. YOHAI: What was the 17 misreading, Mr. Lyons? I -- I 18 would not want to misread the text 19 of the letter. 20 MR. LYONS: Sure. I think 21 that the -- the actual text says 22 "ph." You said policyholder --23 MR. YOHAI: Oh.

197 1 MR. LYONS: -- presuming what the abbreviation means, and 2 you also described the 1-800 3 numbers rather than listing the 4 actual digits. 5 MR. YOHAI: Okay. I'11 6 reread it to -- to cure the 7 counsel's objections. 8 9 "Frank, I had an agent call whose ph was upset because they 10 11 have an all risk policy and wanted to find a list of facilities in 12 their area so they called the 13 1-800-408-1150 number that is 14 listed in their booklet and they 15 told them to call our 16 1-800-362-0700 number. Neither 17 one has access to the Internet. 18 Why would they be doing this?" 1.9 20 Do you see that? THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 22 BYMR. YOHAI: Does this refresh your 0. 23

recollection that there were problems

```
198
    with the 800 number that was set up for
1
    the AllRisk program?
2
                 MR. LYONS: Objection to
3
           form.
4
                  THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm
5
           going to have to tie this date in.
6
           I object to that, because I have
7
           to tie this date in --
8
                 MR. YOHAI: Okay.
9
                  THE WITNESS: -- of October
10
           19th and then, when we instituted
11
           the program, that they had access
12
           to the web site to be able to look
13
           up this information.
14
                  Can you give me that date?
15
                  MR. YOHAI: The date of --
16
           what would you like?
17
                  THE WITNESS: When they
18
           instituted --
19
                  MR. LYONS: He asks the
20
           questions. You answer them.
21
                  MR. YOHAI: Yeah.
22
                  THE WITNESS: Oh.
23
24
    BY MR. YOHAI:
```

```
199
               Well, you're aware, are you
 1
           0.
    not, that there was a roll-out in -- in
 2
 3
    May of 2000; isn't that right?
                 That's not what I was
 4
           Α.
 5
    referring to.
                 Okay. I'm sorry. What are
 6
           0.
    you asking? I'm not -- sorry -- I -- how
 7
    can I help you?
 8
               Okay. The question I asked
 9
    in relation to this, had the program --
10
    and I don't remember the specific date
11
    where we paid the $20,000 to have that
12
    information on a web site -- had that
13
14
    information been on the web site prior to
    this letter is what I'm asking?
15
                 MR. YOHAI: Oh, that was
16
           what we looked at earlier, June of
17
           2000
18
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
19
                 MR. YOHAI: -- right?
20
                 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's
21
           what I'm asking.
22
                 MR. YOHAI: So this is
23
           October of 2000.
24
```

200 THE WITNESS: Yes. Right. 1 Okay. Now, what's the 2 3 question? BY MR. YOHAI: 4 So the question was, does 5 this refresh your recollection of some 6 problems with the 800 number that was set 7 8 up? That is correct. Α. 9 MR. YOHAI: Thank you. We 10 can take our lunch break now. 11 THE VIDEO TECHNICIAN: The 12 time is 12:20 p.m. Off the 13 record. 14 15 (Whereupon, a luncheon 16 recess was taken from 12:20 p.m. 17 until 1:27 p.m.) 18 * * * 19 THE VIDEO TECHNICIAN: 20 time is 1:27 p.m. We are back on 21 the record. 22 BY MR. YOHAI: 23 Q. Mr. Forman, do you 24

```
228
    with you?
 1
 2
                  Please do.
            Q.
                        (PAUSE)
 3
                   THE WITNESS: Okay.
 4
    BY MR. YOHAI:
 5
 6
            Q.
                   Okay.
                   So you recognize this
 7
 8
    document.
                  Yes, I do.
 9
            Α.
                  And what is this document?
10
            Q.
11
                   This is a document that
            Α.
    memorializes what we have agreed to --
12
    National Healthcare service has agreed to
13
    compensate Web Barth for the fulfillment
14
    of the duties on Exhibit B.
15
                  Right.
16
            Q.
                  And this agreement is
17
    between National Healthcare Services
18
19
    Mr. Barth; correct?
20
                  That is correct.
            Α.
21
            Q.
                  Okay.
22
                  Penn Treaty is not a party
    to this agreement; correct?
23
24
            Α.
                  That's correct.
```

```
229
           Q.
                  Okay.
1
                  So Web Barth worked for
2
    National Healthcare Services, and DDS
3
    worked for Mr. Barth; isn't that right?
4
                  MR. LYONS: Objection to the
5
           form.
6
                  THE WITNESS: I -- he works
7
           with Mr. Barth, not for Mr. Barth.
8
    BY MR. YOHAI:
9
              Okay.
           0.
10
                  Well, but DDS also
11
    contracted directly with National
12
    Healthcare Services. Right?
13
                  That is correct.
           Α.
14
                  Okay.
           Q.
15
                  So, looking at Exhibit B,
16
    which are -- this is, I take it, what
17
    NHCS and Web Barth were supposed to do;
18
    correct?
19
                  MR. LYONS: Objection to the
20
           form.
21
    BY MR. YOHAI:
22
                  Is that right?
23
           Q.
                  MR. LYONS: The document
24
```

```
230
            speaks for itself.
 1
 2
    BY MR. YOHAI:
 3
                  Is that correct?
            0.
                                      Is this
 4
    what they were supposed to do, this list
 5
    on Exhibit B --
 6
                  MR. LYONS: Objection to the
 7
            form.
 8
    BY MR. YOHAI:
 9
                  NH -- is this what National
10
    Healthcare Services and Web Barth were
11
    supposed to do, Exhibit B?
12
                        (PAUSE)
13
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
14
    BY MR. YOHAI:
15
                  Okay.
            0.
16
                  So, for example, Web Barth
17
    was supposed to perform for National
18
    Healthcare Services, Inc. -- he was
19
    supposed to manage development of all
20
    creative materials and forms, the sales
21
    brochures, fulfillment package,
22
    salesmen's forms, and processing forms;
23
    correct?
24
                Correct.
           Α.
```

Q. Okay.

So I guess I come back to your problem with the Baptists. Web Barth was supposed to be managing the creation of the promotional materials. Why didn't you just give the Baptists what Web Barth was creating? What was the problem, Mr. Forman?

MR. LYONS: Objection to the form.

THE WITNESS: The problem is that the material that was all created we never received when we asked for it from Penn Treaty.

BY MR. YOHAI:

Q. Why didn't you ask for it from Mr. Barth who worked for you?

A. Because --

MR. LYONS: Objection to the form.

MR. YOHAI: You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I've already

answered the question.

BY MR. YOHAI:

```
232
                  What was the answer? Why
1
           Q .
2
    didn't you ask for -- why didn't you ask
    for the materials from Mr. Bark who --
3
4
    from Mr. Barth who worked for you?
                  MR. LYONS: Objection to the
5
 6
           form.
                  THE WITNESS: I've answered
7
8
           that question previously.
9
    BY MR. YOHAI:
                 Okay. What's the answer?
10
           Q.
                  The answer was that we
11
12
    the material that was supposed to be
13
    provided from DDS was supposed to be
    given to Penn Treaty. Penn Treaty, that
14
    I asked for, was supposed to send it to
15
16
    me and they never sent it.
17
           Q .
                 As we saw from the prior
    e-mail, though, they didn't receive the
18
    information from DDS; correct?
19
20
                 Correct.
           Α.
                  Penn Treaty didn't receive
21
           Q.
22
    the information --
                 Right.
23
           Α.
                  -- from DDS.
24
           Q.
```

EXHIBIT H

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

-----x

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

0

1

2

3

NATIONAL HEALTHCARE SERVICES,: CIVIL ACTION

INC.,

Plaintiff, : NO. 02-CV-3600

(M M)

VS.

PENN TREATY AMERICAN : ORIGINAL

CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants. :

Videotaped deposition of

HERBERT E. SCHWARTZ, held at the law

offices of BALLARD, SPAHR, ANDREWS &

INGERSOLL, LLP, 1735 Market Street, 51st

Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103,

on Monday, September 29, 2003, beginning

at 9:04 a.m., before Debra J. Weaver, a

Federally Approved Registered

Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime

Reporter and Certified Shorthand Reporter

of NJ (No. XI 01614) and Delaware (No.

138-RPR, Expiration 1/13/05).

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES

1880 John F. Kennedy Boulevard

15th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 988-9191

```
145
 1
    problems and things?
 2
            Α.
                 Correct.
 3
            Q.
                 Yes?
                 Correct. Yes.
 4
           Α.
                  This March 28th, 2000,
 5
            0.
    e-mail describes an issue with a Ms.
 6
 7
    Hollis. This is the second e-mail in the
    chain. That she wants to cancel as the
 8
    result of delays in getting her
 9
    fulfillment kit. Do you see that?
10
11
                 Okay. Yes.
            Α.
12
                 Okay. Were you aware of
            Q.
13
    delays in folks getting fulfillment kits
14
    during the pendency of the AllRisk
15
    product?
16
                  Yes.
           Α.
                  Okay. You can put that
17
           Q.
18
    aside.
19
                  MR. YOHAI: Let's mark this.
20
                  (Whereupon, Deposition
21
           Exhibit No. Schwartz-10, Series of
22
           e-mails to and from Sharon
           Fritzinger, Bates PT
23
24
           011662-0011663, was marked for
```

146 1 identification.) 2 BY MR. YOHAI: 3 I marked this as Schwartz 0. 4 Exhibit 10. Here's another one of e-mails, Mr. Schwartz, Bates stamp number 5 PT 11662 through PT 11663. I don't see 6 you copied on this e-mail. 7 But let me just ask you. It complains about a Ms. 8 9 Plotkin who never received her AllRisk 10 kit --11 Α. Okay. 12 Q. -- after having a month go 13 by. All right. 14 Α. 15 This is one of a number of Q. other instances that I've seen. 16 17 discussed this morning, it was DDS's responsibility to provide these 18 19 fulfillment kits, correct? 20 It appears that way, yes. Α. 21 And they worked for -- DDS Q. 22 worked for National Healthcare Services, 23 correct? 24 MR. LYONS: Objection

I assume I received it.

Ιt

23

24

it?

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Do you recall there being 0. some issues with management folks at DDS changing the people in charge of these

```
149
    sample membership kits? Do you recall
 1
    that being an issue?
2
                 It wasn't a problem, but DDS
 3
           Α.
    is quite a substantial firm and they
 4
    either changed employees or they switched
 5
    responsibilities. I don't know why these
 6
    things happened there. But -- and why
 7
   there was a delay in them getting sample
8
    kits, I don't know why.
9
                 Okay. But it's fair to say
10
   that there at least was -- it seemed like
11
    a great deal of turnover at DDS in terms
12
    of the people handling this
13
    responsibility and that may have
14
    contributed to the delay in getting some
15
    of the fulfillment kits out; is that
16
17
    right?
              Possibly, yes.
18
           Α.
                 Okay.
19
           Q.
                 MR. YOHAI: Can we mark this
20
           as Schwartz Exhibit 12.
21
                  (Whereupon, Deposition
22
           Exhibit No. Schwartz-12, Forward
23
           e-mail from 11/20/00, Bates NHCS
24
```

```
150
 1
            00347, was marked for
           identification.)
 2
    BY MR. YOHAI:
 3
           Q. I'm showing you now what's
 4
 5
    been marked as Schwartz Exhibit 12,
    NHS -- NHCS 347. It's a forward e-mail
 6
    from 11/20/2000. You're one of the folks
 7
    listed at the top there.
 8
 9
           Α.
                  Okay.
                Do you see that?
10
           Q .
                  Yes.
           Α.
11
12
                  Okay. Do you recall
           Q.
    receiving this e-mail?
13
              Okay. Yes, I did.
14
           Α.
15
           Q.
                 Okay. Now, in the text of
    the e-mail, it says, "Mark Cohn's client,
16
    Eleanor Wverger, wanted to use the HHC
17
    plan." Do you see that?
18
           Α.
19
                 Yes.
                  I take it, it's your
20
           Q.
    understanding that Eleanor Wverger is
21
    someone who signed up for the AllRisk
22
23
    program?
                  It appears that way.
24
           Α.
```

```
151
              And she wanted to use the
 1
           Q.
    HHC plan and she called the care
 2
    coordinator and they gave her a list of
 3
    providers, and then she apparently called
 4
    some of the providers on the list and
 5
    none of them had ever heard of AllRisk,
 6
 7
    correct?
 8
           Α.
                 Correct.
                  And this is an example of an
 9
           Q.
    actual customer calling up the nursing
10
11
    home facility --
12
              Correct.
           Α.
                  -- and concluding that they
13
           Q.
    had never heard of AllRisk, right?
14
                  Correct.
15
           Α.
                 Now, I know that it's your
16
           Q.
    position that this isn't what the
17
    customer was supposed to do
18
                 Correct.
19
           Α.
                  -- it was supposed to be
20
           Q.
21
    handled a different way.
22
           Α.
                 Right.
               But it's true, is it not,
23
           Q.
    that at least in some instances the
24
```

```
people who signed up for the program would call the agencies, the providers directly, and sometimes be informed that they never heard of AllRisk, correct?
```

A. It appears that way.

Q. Do you think that this could contribute to a lower renewal rate, people who had done this and not gotten information that their person was -- that their local nursing home was covered?

A. I'd say that any product that you're not getting the service, the person is not going to keep it.

Q. And that would include the AllRisk product?

A. That would include any product.

Q. So if the person called up and found out that the nursing home had never really heard of AllRisk, that might be a reason the person would decide not to renew their policy?

A. In this particular case, the DDS employee was not supposed to give

153 them a list of the nursing homes. They 1 2 were supposed to actually coordinate what nursing homes that they were interested 3 in and then coordinate the discounts and 4 services for them. 5 6 Q. So DDS acted incorrectly in 7 this instance? I would think so. Α. 8 And -- but you would agree 9 Q. that someone who called up and found -- a 10 11 customer who called up and found that the 12 nursing home had no record of AllRisk, 13 that might be a cause for failing to 14 renew? MR. LYONS: Objection. 15 Lack 16 of foundation. THE WITNESS: I'd say if DDS 17 followed through and provided them 18 19 with a provider and discount, they would continue to keep the 20 21 product. If they just said forget 22 the whole thing when it came up for renewal, probably they would 23 not keep it. 24

```
154
 1
    BY MR. YOHAI:
 2
              And DDS was an agency which
    contracted with your company on the
 3
    AllRisk product?
 4
           Α.
                 That's correct.
 5
                  MR. YOHAI: Let me show you
 6
 7
           now what we'll mark now as
 8
           Schwartz Exhibit 13.
                  (Whereupon, Deposition
 9
10
           Exhibit No. Schwartz-13, Memo
           dated 5/9/00 to Kathy Lannen from
11
12
           Web Barth, with attachment, Bates
           NHCS 00300-00303, was marked for
13
14
           identification.)
    BY MR. YOHAI:
15
           Q. Now, this is a memo from Web
16
17
    Barth to Kathy Lannen dated May 9th,
18
    2000, right?
19
           A. Uh-huh.
20
                 Also copied to you, Mr.
           Q.
    Schwartz. It's Bates stamp numbered NHCS
21
22
    300 through 303. Do you see this?
23
                 Yes.
           Α.
24
               Okay. Do you believe you
           Q.
```

```
163
   in your kit. Outside what was listed,
1
   you call the 800 number.
2
           Q. Okay. Let's show you what's
3
   been marked as Schwartz 15 --
4
                 (Whereupon, Deposition
5
           Exhibit No. Schwartz-15, e-mails
6
           dated 10/19/00, Bates PT 010442,
7
           was marked for identification.)
8
   BY MR. YOHAI:
9
             -- Bates stamp number PT
10
    10442. It's a few e-mails dated October
11
    19th, 2000.
12
                 Now, this e-mail recounts an
13
   episode where the agent's policyholder,
14
   the actual person who had one of the
15
    AllRisk programs, called the 800 number
16
   and the people at the 800 number actually
17
    referred them to Penn Treaty's 800
18
   number. Can you think of a reason why
19
    they would be doing that?
20
                 Let me read this, please.
           Α.
21
                 Sure.
22
           Q.
                 MR. LYONS: And I object to
23
           lack of foundation.
24
```

164 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware 1 of this. And it's very possible 2 3 they had a policy with Penn 4 Treaty, an insurance policy, in addition to this. They just 5 happened to call them. I don't 6 7 know. 8 BY MR. YOHAI: 9 Okay. But it would be 10 inappropriate to your understanding DDS to be referring people to Penn 11 Treaty's 800 number if it was a question 12 13 about the AllRisk product? 14 Α. Correct. 15 Okay. And that would just Q . generate more confusion? 16 17 Α. Absolutely. 18 Q. Okay. 19 MR. YOHAI: Mark this as Schwartz-16, if that's what we're 20 21 up to. 22 (Whereupon, Deposition 23 Exhibit No. Schwartz-16, Memo 24 dated 11/3/00 to Derrick

```
165
           Brickhouse, Jackie Frantz,
                                       Jane
1
           Bagley from Larry Hausman, Bates
2
           PT 010400-010401, was marked for
3
           identification.)
4
   BY MR. YOHAI:
5
           Q. Mr. Schwartz, this is a
6
   memorandum from a Larry Hausman to
7
    Derrick Brickhouse, Jackie Frantz and
8
    Jane Bagley I don't see you copied on
9
    this, so I don't know if you received it
10
    or anything like it, but I'll let you
11
    take a look at it and you can tell me if
12
    you received this.
13
             I don't récall seeing this,
14
    but let me just read this.
15
               Okay.
           Q .
16
                 I don't -- who is Larry
           Α.
17
18
    Hausman?
              My question --
           Q.
19
                 I'm not aware of this.
           Α.
20
                Okay. And that's fine.
                                            Му
21
           Q.
    question to you is simply this. The
22
    e-mail recounts a situation where the
23
    woman entered into a contract in March of
24
```